



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/629,036		07/31/2000	Peter Tenereillo	CISCP661	4736
26541	7590	10/07/2005		EXAMINER	
Cindy S. Kaplan P.O. BOX 2448			BOUTAH,	ALINA A	
SARATOGA, CA 95070		95070		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	-			2143	

DATE MAILED: 10/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/629,036	TENEREILLO, PETER				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Alina N Boutah	2143				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address				
A SH THE - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state to reply received by the Office later than three months after the middle patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. t.1.136(a). In no event, however, may reply within the statutory minimum of the iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mo atute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12	2 <u>September 2005</u> .					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-final.					
3)□							
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicat 9)□	Claim(s) 1-4,6-9,16,18-20 and 23 is/are per 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-4,6-9,16,18-20 and 23 is/are rejucted to. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and ion Papers The specification is objected to by the Example the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjects.	drawn from consideration. ected. d/or election requirement. niner. eccepted or b)□ objected t					
11)□	Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor The oath or declaration is objected to by the	rection is required if the drawi	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) Notice 3) Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Art Unit: 2143

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

This action is in response to Applicant's amendment filed September 12, 2005. Claims 1-4, 6-9, 16, 18-20, and 23 are pending in the present application.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on September 12, 2005 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4, 6-9, 16, 18-20 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over USPN 6,754,706 issued to Swildens et al. (hereinafter Swildens) in view of USPN 6,735,631 issued to Oehrke et al. (hereinafter Oehrke).

Art Unit: 2143

(Amended) Regarding claim 1, Swildens teaches a method for providing a persistent connection between a client and a real server, the method comprising:

receiving at a DNS server a request originating from a client of a plurality of clients for connection to a virtual server implemented on a local director (abstract; figure 5; col. 2, lines 32-67);

identifying a natural class of an IP address of said first client (figure 1; col. 1, lines 62-67; col. 2, lines 39-45; col. 6, lines 1-8; col. 6, lines 47-65); and

determining if the DNS server has received and sent out connection requests from said first client or any client of said plurality of clients having the same natural class as said first client by searching a table stored on the local director and identifying previous connections created between the local director and said two or more real servers (abstract; figures 1 and 2; col. 1, lines 55-67; col. 2, lines 39-65);

if the DNS server has received and sent out a connection request to one of said real servers from said first client or any client having the same natural class as said first client, selecting the same real server for connection with said first client (abstract; figures 1 and 2; col. 1, lines 55-67; col. 2, lines 39-65); and

if the DNS server has not received and sent out a connection request to one of said real servers from said first client or any client having the same natural class as said first client, selecting one of said DNS servers based on load balancing (abstract; figures 1 and 2; col. 1, lines 55-67; col. 2, lines 39-65);

forwarding to the selected real server transmissions originating from the client (abstract; col. 2, lines 32-65).

Art Unit: 2143

Swildens is silent about the use of local director to perform the function above. Oehke teaches providing a local director in communication with a plurality of clients and two or more real servers, said plurality of client configured for communication with said two or more real servers through the local director (abstract; figure 2; figure 3: 56a and 56b; figure 4: 86a and 86b). At the time the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ a local director in order to direct network traffic for efficient load balancing, therefore enhancing the network's efficiency.

Regarding claim 2, Swildens teaches the method of claim 1 wherein selecting a real server comprises selecting the same real server for all clients having the same natural class subnet (col. 6, lines 46-65).

Regarding claim 3, Swildens teaches the method of claim 1 wherein receiving a request comprises receiving a request from a firewall and wherein the IP address of the device is the IP address of the firewall (col. 6, lines 46-65).

Regarding claim 4, Swildens teaches the method of claim 1 wherein the request is an HTTP request (col. 6, line 66 to col. 7, line 6).

Claim 6 is similar to claim 1 therefore is rejected under the same rationale.

Art Unit: 2143

Regarding claim 7, Swildens teaches a computer program product wherein the computer readable medium is selected from a group consisting of CD-ROM, floppy disk, tape, flash memory, system memory, hard drive, and data signal embodied in a carrier wave (col. 9, lines 16-21).

Regarding claim 8, Swildens teaches the computer program product of claim 6 wherein the code that selects a real server comprises code that selects the same real server for all clients having the same natural class subnet (col. 6, lines 46-65).

Regarding claim 9, Swildens teaches the computer program product of claim 6 wherein the code that receives a request comprises code that receives a request from a firewall and wherein the IP address of the device is the IP address of the firewall (col. 6, lines 46-65).

Regarding claim 16, Swildens teaches selecting the same DNS server for requests from IP addresses having the same natural class subnet (col. 7, lines 28-32).

Regarding claim 18, Swildens teaches the method of claim 1 further comprising updating said table each time a connection is made between the DNS server and said two or more real servers with a new natural class (col. 7, lines 40-49).

Art Unit: 2143

Regarding claim 19, Swildens teaches the method of claim 1 wherein identifying a natural class comprises identifying a subnet mask and wherein the selection of the real server is based on the identified subnet mask (col. 6, lines 46-65).

Regarding claim 20, Swildens teaches the method of claim 1 further comprising updating entries in said DNS server table at predefined intervals (col. 6, lines 46-65).

Claim 23 is similar to claim 1, therefore is rejected under the same rationale.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alina N. Boutah whose telephone number is 571-272-3908. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (9:00 am - 5:00 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David A. Wiley can be reached on 571-272-3923. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/629,036 Page 7

Art Unit: 2143

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ANB

ANB

DAVID WILEY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100